



ILC SRF Industrialization Cost Sudy: ILC SRF 工業化コスト検討

Akira Yamamoto (KEK) 山本 明

LCC and KEK ILC Preparation Office LCC, KEK ILC 準備室

MEXT, ILC-TDR Validation Working Group 28th July, 2014, updated 20th Oct., 2014

A.Yamamoto, 2014/10/20

ILC-TDR, SCRF Cost Study

この報告の趣旨

- ILC TDR コスト見積もりの中から、最も関心が高く、 信頼性評価の核心となる、『超伝導加速空洞システム工業化コスト』について、その評価の為の作業、 経緯、結果を重点的に報告する。
 - 詳しいコスト評価内容については、文科省・ILC-TDR検証作業部会に 報告されている。公式な『非公開情報』となり、慎重な取り扱いを、 文科省から求められている。
- また、このコスト見積もりの信頼性を高め、実現する 為には、なにが求められるかを、提言する。

アウトライン

- イントロダクション
- 工業化への国際分担基本方針:
- ・ コスト評価作業のプロセス
- ・コスト評価結果
- ・コスト評価結果・実現への道、提言

ILC 加速器建設経費 (ILCUを日本円で換算) → 試算モデル



Value Premium: 26%, Labour Premium: 24%



プレミアム分 人件費

日本円換質

A2: ILC TDR Cost : Conversion to Japanese Yen using a model

X Premium (Uncertainty in TDR value/labor estimate, and to be

prepared for unknown situation)

不定性として考えるべき範囲: コスト、人員、期間見積もり等の改訂に伴う

- Value Premium : 26%
- Labour Premium: 24% ≻



Notes: *TDR Cost: PPP indices used for TDR-Value to Convert to JYen (Jan, 2012)

- JY per USD: 127 (non-civil-construction), 109 (civil-construction)
- JY per EU: 137 (non-civil-construction), 116 (Civil-construction)

ILC Accelerator Cost Fraction



A technical model for studying specially on industrialization/mass-production scale; - Host Country: CFS ~ 100%, SCRF ~ 30 % → Total fraction ~ 50 % **ILC-TDR Accelerator Parameters**

Parameters	Value
C.M. Energy	500 GeV
Peak luminosity	1.5 x10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹
Beam Rep. rate	5 Hz
Pulse duration	0.73 ms
Average current	5.8 mA (in pulse)
Av. field gradient	31.5 MV/m +/-20% Q ₀ = 1E10
# 9-cell cavity	16024 (x 1.1)
# cryomodule	1,855
# Klystron	~400

Construction Period for Cavity and CMs

- Preparation + Full-production: ~ 6 years





ilc

: Cavity/Cryomodule Fabrication に に の し の し



SCRF Procurement/Manufacturing Model



Progress in 1.3 GHz 9-cell Cavity Production

year	Capable Lab.	Capable Industry
2006	1 DESY	2 ACCEL, ZANON
2011	4 DESY, JLAB, FNAL, <mark>KEK</mark>	4 RI, ZANON, AES, <mark>MHI,</mark>
2012	5 DESY, JLAB, FNAL, <mark>KEK,</mark> Cornell	5 RI, ZANON, AES, MHI, <u>Hitachi</u>

One Lab (2 vendor) in 2006, and
5 Lab (5 vendor) in 2012 may handle it

アウトライン

- イントロダクション
- 工業化への国際分担基本方針:
- コスト評価作業のプロセス
- ・コスト評価結果
- ・コスト評価結果・実現への道、提言

SCRF R&D and Industrialization Study

Year	07	2008	2009	2	010	2011	2012
Phase		TDP	-1			TDP-2	2
Cavity Gradient in v. test to reach 35 MV/m		\rightarrow Yield	l 50%		1	Yield	90%
Cavity-string to reach 31.5 MV/m, with one- cryomodule		Global e assembl (DESY, FNA	ffort for st y and tes	tring t <)			
System Test with beam acceleration	FLASH (DESY) , NML (FNAL)STF2 (KE			2 (KEK)			
Preparation for Industrialization	工業化準備 Production Technology R&D			y R&D			
Communication with industry:	2009: 2010 -2 2011: 2011~2	Visit 2011: Orga Send 2012: Spec	Venders (20 nize Worksl specificatic ific study w/	009) hop (2 on & re ' quali	2010) eceive fied co	response mpanies in c	contracts

工業化検討・コスト評価のプロセス

2008 ~ 2010	技術開発→工業化プロセス基本方針の策定 - "Plug-Compatibility"を基本とした国際分担	P.C.: LCWS-2008 (Chicago)
2009 ~ 2010	企業訪問・意見交換・コスト検討(無償見積)	Hitachi, MHI, RI, Zanon, AES, Niowave, PAVAC
2010 ~ 2011	国際会議でのIndustrialization Workshop(s)の 開催、企業との公開・意見交換	2010: IPAC-2010 2011: SRF-2011
2011 ~ 2012	更なる企業訪問、意見交換 工業化検討(契約)・コスト評価	訪問 : 19社 Cavity: RI, MHI, CM: BN, Hitachi QMag: Toshiba
2012	TDR への コスト評価	TDR and Cost Estimate Document
2013 ~	Project 準備:工業化技術、最適化検討、検証	In progress

Plug-compatible Conditions

	Item	Variation	TDR Baseline
	Cavity shape	TESLA / LL	TESLA
	Length		Fixed
	Beam pipe flange		Fixed
Miller V	Suspension pitch		Fixed
V	Tuner	Blade/ Slide-Jack	Blade
	Coupler flange (cold end)	40 or 60	40 mm
	Coupler pitch		Fixed
	He –in-line joint		Fixed
	Magnetic shield	Inside/outside	Inside

Plug-compatible interface established

in 2009 - 2011



Communication with Industry, in 2009-2013 企業訪問·工業化検討協力 ilr

	Year	Company	Place	Technical subject	
1	2009~2012	Hitachi	Tokyo (JP)	Cavity/Cryomodule (CM)	
2	2009~2012	Toshiba	Yokohama (JP)	Cavity/CM, SC Quadrupole	
3	2009~2012	MHI	Kobe (JP)	Cavity / CM	
4	2011~2012	Tokyo Denkai	Tokyo (JP)	SC Material	
5	2011	OTIC	NingXia (CN)	SC Material	
6	2009 - 2011	Zanon	Schio (IT)	Cavity/CM	
7	2009~2012	RI	Koeln (DE)	Cavity, Coupler	
8	2009~2012	AES	Medford, NY (US)	Cavity	
9	2009-2011	Niowave	Lansing, MI (US)	Cavity/CM	
10	2009, 2012	PAVAC	Richmond, BC (CA)	Cavity	
11	2011	ATI Wah-Chang	Albany, OR (US)	SC Material	
12	2011	Plansee	Ruette (AS)	SC Material	
13	2011	SDMS	Sr. Romans (FR)	Cavity	
14	2011~2012	Heraeus	Hanau (DE)	SC Material	
15	2011~2012	Babcock-Noell	Wurzburg (DE)	CM assembly	
16	2011	SST	Maisach (DE)	EBW	
17	2012	Toshiba Electron-Tube	Nasu (JP)	HLRF (Klystron, Coupler)	
18	2012	Thales	Velizy Villacoublay (FR)	HLRF (Klystron)	
19	2013	Wuxi City Creative Chemical Equip. (CX)	Wuxi (CN)	CM / Cryomodule components	•

. .



URL site: prepared for

visiting SCRF Cavity Manufacturers

共通の説明・技術仕様を示し、

コスト見積もりを依頼

ILC Global Design Effort Project Manager visit to SCRF ca...

http://www.linearcollider.org/cms/?pid=10006



ILC Global Design Effort Project Manager visit to SCRF cavity manufacturers

February - March 2009

In early 2009 the ILC Global Design Effort Project Managers (Akira Yamamoto, Marc Ross, and Nick Walker) visited and were graciously hosted by many of the world's top superconducting RF cavity manufacturers. The objective of the visit was to:

- 1. Learn industrial status and possible future at cavity manufacturers.
- 2. Communicate the ILC GDE Technical Design Phase R&D Plan.
- Request further industrial R&D effort, particularly to improve "field gradient" and "cost effective production" in order to prepare for the industrialization (mass production).
- 4. Establish close communication and a confident relationship between ILC GDE and vendors.

This web page is intended to capture the material presented to vendors and to include key references.

Global R&D Effort of SCRF Cavity Development for the International Linear Collider (pdf, 5Mb) Akira Yamamoto, Marc Ross, and Nick Walker - Project Managers for the ILC Global Design Effort, material presented to each of the SCRF cavity manufacturers.

Superconducting RF Cavity Development for the International Linear Collider (pdf, 4Mb) Akin Yamamoto for the ILC Global Design Effort, paper presented at Applied Superconductivity Conference 2008 (ASC 2008).

Global R&D effort for the ILC linac technology (pdf, 4Mb) Akira Yamamoto for the ILC Global Design Effort, paper presented to EPAC 2008.



(Last updated: 25 February 2009)

工業化における責任分担

- Industry: manufacture/vendor → 製造に責任
 - Fabricate components based on "build to print" specification:
 - Minimizing risk in industrial cost and maximizing costeffective mass production
- Laboratory (Hub-laboratory) → "性能に責任"
 - Qualify components, such as cavities, and cryomodules,
 - Requiring major test facilities
 - Responsible for the performance of the deliverables to the ILC host-laboratory



A Model for Cavity and CM Production and Qualification Process

【】● ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・				
Step hosted	Industry	Industry/ Laboratory	Hub- laboratory	ILC Host- laboratory
Regional constraint	no	yes or no	yes	yes
Sub-comp/material - Production/Procurement	Nb, Ti, specific comp		Procurement	
9-cell Cavity - Manufacturing	9-cell-cavity, Process, He-Jacketing	<	Procurement	
9-cell Cavity - Performance Test			Cold, gradient test	
Cryomodule component - Manufacturing	V. vessel, cold-mass		Procurement	
Cryomodule/Cavity - Assembly		Cav-string/		
SCRF Cryomodule - Perofrmance Test			Cold, gradient test	
Accelerator integration, Commissioning				Accelerator sys. Integ

研究所(自ら):製造・工業化技術開発に努力





AMADA digital-survo-press SDE1522 150t, 50stroke/min, 225mmstroke

Chemical process

Trim



MORI VKL-253 Vertical CNC lathe



A.Yamamoto, 2014/10/20

ILC-TDR, SCRF Cost Study





KEK (in-house) 9-Cell Cavity (KEK-01) completed, and tested, April, 2014



N. Walker

LINEAR COLLIDER CO1AB 34 GHz 9-Cell Cavities/Resonators



Solid high-grade niobium • RRR ≥300

Mechanical fabrication

- deep drawing
- electron-beam welding

Surface preparation

- · electro-polishing
- High-pressure rinsing
- 800 deg C bake

Cavity package:

- HOM couplers (x2)
- Magnetic shield
- High-power input coupler
- Ti-Nb Helium tank (cryostat)
- Mechanical tuner

Following EXFEL cavity "biuld-to-print" Specification, as reference

A.Yamamoto,

[[-...

Reference for Cavity Specification 空洞の参考製造仕様(見積条件)

• Technical guideline for ILC-GDE TDR and the cost estimate:

- referring Specifications for EXFEL1.3 GHz Cavity, issued by DESY: acknowledged!
 - EXFEL/001 and associated documents :Rev.B, June 2009, by courtesy of W. Singer (DESY-XFEL)),
 - · The reference specification is available with ILC-GDE PMs, under permission of W. Singer (DESY-XFEL)
 - URL: http://ilcagenda.linearcollider.org/event/ILC-SCRF-TR



IC Cavity and CM Industrial Studies: contracted IIC in 2011-2012 (赤印: 契約を伴う量産コスト検討)

	Company	Mass production model	Contract funded by	Notes
Cavity	RI	100% →50%	DESY	Entirely new approach for mass production
	AES	20 %	DOE/Fermilab	Extension of current production model
	МНІ	20, 50, 100%	KEK	
Quadrupole	Toshiba	100% →50 %	KEK	Entirely new approach Conduction cooled magnet
CM & assembly	Hitachi	20, 50, 100%	KEK	
	AES	25%	DOE/Fermilab	
	BNG	100% →33 %	CERN	Entirely new approach

• EXFEL experience kindly informed, in parallel, by DESY/INFN, CEA/Saclay

: Study History and Our Proposal Tesla, ILC-RDR, TDR への検討経過

	Tesla	ILC-RDR	ILC-TDR
Regional/Global	DESY centered effort	Based on global contribution	Based on global contribution
Sub-components	Rely on world- wide market	Rely on world-wide market	Rely on world-wide market
9-cell cavity mechanical fab.	<mark>Single vendor</mark> (単企業)	Single vendor (単企業)	Two vendors assumed(複数企業)
Cavity performance test	Tested at DESY	Tested at ILC host- lab	Shared w/ hub- laboratories
Cryomodule	To be	Toe be understood	Two vendors
Cryomodule assembly	Single vendor or contract	Single vendor or contract	Three vendors assumed
Cryomodule performance test	Tested at DESY (1/3 test?)	Tested at ILC host- lab (1/3 test)	1/3 of CMs to be tested, and shared w/ hub-laboratories

100 s.c. Modules for the European XFEL

IPAC14: Courtesy: H. Weise

European

FEL An Accelerator Complex for 17.5 GeV







100 s.c. Modules for the European XFEL

IPAC14: Courtesy: H. Weise

European

FEL An Accelerator Complex for 17.5 GeV







SCRF Cavity Production





- Gradients in average above specification (almost 300 cavities tested)
 - Average usable gradient after delivery (26.8 ± 7.1) MV/m
 - 2/3 of cavities can be used w/o further treatment
 - 1/3 is getting additional treatm. -> usable grad. increased to (29.6 ± 5.1) MV/m

2014.6: # cavities produced > 300. Usable Gradient: $\sim < 30 > MV/m$

IPAC14: Courtesy: N. Walker

SCRF Cavity Production



- Average usable gradient after delivery (26.8 ± 7.1) MV/m
- 2/3 of cavities can be used w/o further treatment
- 1/3 is getting additional treatm. -> usable grad. increased to (29.6 ± 5.1) MV/m

2014.6: # cavities produced > 300. Usable Gradient: $\sim < 30 > MV/m$

超伝導加速空洞・工業化コスト評価の推移



A.Yamamoto, 2014/10/20

超伝導加速空洞・工業化コスト評価の推移



A.Yamamoto, 2014/10/20

アウトライン

- イントロダクション
- 工業化への国際分担基本方針:
- ・ コスト評価作業のプロセス
- ・コスト評価結果

1.3 GHz 9-Cell Cavities/Resonators



Solid high-grade niobium

• RRR ≥300

Mechanical fabrication

- deep drawing
- electron-beam welding

Surface preparation

- electro-polishing
- High-pressure rinsing
- 800 deg C bake

Cavity package:

- HOM couplers (x2)
- Magnetic shield
- High-power input coupler
- Ti-Nb Helium tank (cryostat)
- Mechanical tuner

We need to look at these, now

今後の課題

- 周辺機器を含めた、Cavity Integrationのコスト評価が課題
 - 空洞本体のと周辺機器の製造(加工)コストは同レベル。
 - Cost Effective な設計が求められる。

Cavity Integration	1.00
超伝導材料	0.2x
空洞本体:	0.1x
空洞表面処理:	0.2x
カプラー:	0.2x
	Cavity Integration 超伝導材料 <mark>空洞本体 :</mark> 空洞表面処理: カプラー:

- チューナー: 0.0x
- He容器: 0.0x
- 磁気シールド: 0.0x

S1-Global hosted at KEK: Global cooperation to demonstrate SCRF system



DESY, FNAL, Jan., 2010





DESY, Sept. 2010









FNAL & INFN, July, 2010

INFN and FNAL Feb. 2010



DESY, May, 2010



June, 2010 ~

A.Yamamoto, 2014/07/28

March, 2010

ILC-TDR, SCRF Cost Study

S1-Global Cavity Packages



Cavities, Tuners, Couplers in S1-G Cryomodule



Scope of the Industrial study to be further made

How?

- Analytical cost study, based on EXFEL CM assembly specifications and Work Break-down Structure (WBS)
- But including ILC specificities (see below)

	XFEL	ILC
Configuration:	8 cavities + 1 quad. pkg. in the end.	Type A: 9 cavities Type B: 8 cavities + 1 quad. pkg. in the center.
Cryomodule length: Quad. pkg. spacing:	11 142 mm 1 384 mm	11 802 mm 1 327 mm
Cavity length: Cavity Magnetic shield: Tuner-type and location:	1 256 mm Outside LHe tank Double lever type at end of cavity	1 247 mm Inside LHe tank Blade-type at middle of cavity
Quadrupole magnet Type: Coil length: Magnet weight: Cooling: Assembly with cavity string:	Super-ferric ~200mm ~100 kg LHe bath cooling in clean room	Iron yoke split magnet ~1000 mm ~ 400 kg conduction cooling outside clean room
5 K radiation shield	Included	Optionally removed





相対コスト(現状):

- <u>Coupler:</u> STF > TTF-III coupler (XFEL)
- <u>Tune/He-V:</u> S-Jack >> Blade >> Lever (XFEL)
- <u>Mag. Shield:</u> Inside > Outside (XFEL)

XFEL vs ILC Cryomodule





ILC Type-IV



Reduction in inter-cavity	= 61 mm	
Bellows:	= 108→82	= 26 mm
"Long" cavity end	= 140→105	= 35 mm



LCLS-II Cavity: a Tuner Design Option to be investigated



- LCLS Tuner design covering the beam pipe flanges, overcoming a constraint with a shorter beam pipe
- On the other hand, motor accessability need to be figured out





SNS: SRF Tuner accessability demonstrated

コストをコントロールする努力

- ・ 空洞工業化技術の習熟
 - 工業化技術習熟
 - 周辺機器を含めた最適化
 - Plug-Compatibility の概念を堅持
 - 性能を満たしつつ、コスト・エフェクティブな設計ができる。
 - コストを保ちつつ、性能を向上することができる。
- 一つの可能性:
 - 空洞にILC cavity (short type) に適応するLever-Tuner を組み込む。
 - クライオモジュールにアクセスフランジを設け保守を可能に。
 - フランジ追加に対応するコス増を、輻射シールド5Kを節約することで吸収する。

ILC Project Cost Overview ILC 計画・コスト見積もり(作業中)

- Overall project cost for 500 GeV: (500 GeV 加速器建設)
 - including preparation, construction, and operation,
 - 含む項目:準備、建設、運転、(アップブレード)
 - Values converted to JY (with a model: 1 USD= 100 JY, 1EU = 115 JY),
 - Human resource (labor cost) converted JY TBD (with a model: 9,000 ~ 5,000 JY per hour, and 1,700 working hours per year).
- Global cost sharing shall be discussed based on the world-wide overall cost
 国際的なプロジェクト総コスト見積もりをベースに国際分担を検討
- Concerning the preparation, (準備段階について)
 - Pre-preparation for 2 years before decision given be carried out by existing R&D budgets, (not included in the overall project cost)

判断前の予備的な準備段階は、現存の先端技術開発予算、人員で技術開発を継続する (プロジェクトコストー覧には、含まない)

 Officiall preparation for 4 years after the decision be carried out with a new budget authorized for the ILC preparation ,

公式な準備期間(建設にむけた判断後)には、新たなプロジェクト準備費想定し、一覧に含む (それまでの 技術開発予算・人員は、ILC プロジェクト費用の一部に組み変えられる) ILC Project Overview :

プロジェクト全体像

	Years	TDR baseline Scenario						
	1 - 2	Pre-preparation for 2yrs (for technical effort continuity) 前段階・先端技術開発の継続(2年)						
	3 - 6	Preparation (4 yrs) ILC 建設への準備段階(4年)						
	7 - 15	Construction (9 yrs) 建設(9年)						
	(12 -)	(start installation) 組み込みの開始						
	(13 -)	(start preparation for Commissioning and operation (to be studied) 運転にむけた準備の段階的立ち上げ (検討要)						
	16 -	Beam Commissioning start ビームコミッショニング						
	17 – (30)	Operation at 250~500 GeV (550 GeV) 物理実験 8250~500 GeV (550 GeV)						
	TBD	Toward 1 TeV upgrade 1 TeV アップグレード						

ILC Project-Cost Overview (at 500 GeV)

(V- 1410120	Value	Uncertainty		Human Resource			Uncertainty		Value+HR	Range	See
	10.11	%,	JY	P-hr	(FTE)	10 ⁸ JY	%,	JY	10.11	10, 11	note
Formal Preparation (4 years)											
Accelerator + CFS (for 4 yrs)	TBD			TBD					TBD		A1
Lab. Support. - Land, Load, Lab	TBD			TBD					TBD		A1
Detectors	TBD			TBD					TBD		A1
Construction (9 years)											
Accelerator (Acc. Equipm. + CFS) (TDR values)	8,309 (5,709+2602) <u>(7.98 BILC)</u>	26%	2,160	<u>22.9 M</u>	(13,471)	1,598	24%	384	9,907	7,363 ~ 12,451	A2
Lab. Support - Safety, Computing, etc	<u>TBD</u>			<u>TBD</u>		TBD			TBD		A2
Det. Constr (for 9 yrs)	SiD: <u>315</u> (<u>315 MILC)</u> ILD: 451 (<u>392 MILC)</u>		<u>+127</u> (+/-48)		(748) (1,400)	89 150			315+89 = 404 451+150 = 601	404~531 (553~649)	A2
Full Operation (per year)											
Acc. + CFS Operation	<u>390</u> (390 MILC)	40%	156		(850)	101	25%	25	491	TBD	A3
Lab. Support	TBD			TBD					TBD		
Det. Operation	TBD			TBD					TBD		

まとめ

- TDR 加速器コスト評価のまとめ:
 - 加速器(及び施設)建設
 - 物件費 (~ 8,300) + 労務費(~1,600) = ~ 9,900 億円+/-~ 25 %
 - 運転(+運営)経費、作業中
 - 物件費 (390+/- TBD)+労務費 (100 +/- TBD) = 490 億円 +/- TBD
- 加速器超伝導関連経費は、加速器経費の~2/3を占めている。
 - その他の経費はRDRでの評価(主として、アメリカの研究所での評価)に大きな ずれがでた場合にも、その影響は、全体計画に対しては、x 1/3 の効果に抑制される。
- さらに詳細な個々のコスト評価については、今後、更なる取り組みにご協力 を頂くべき方々に、別途ご報告する機会(非公開)を頂きたい。
 - 文科省・ILC-TDR検証作業部会での座長決裁と同様に、情報の守秘について、お 約束を頂いたうえで、ご報告させて頂くことにご理解、ご協力をお願い致します。

Appendix

SCRF Linac Technology





1.3 GHz Nb 9-cellCavities	16,024			
Cryomodules	1,855			
SC quadrupole pkg	673			
10 MW MB Klystrons & modulators	436 *			

* site dependent

Approximately 20 years of R&D worldwide \rightarrow Mature technology, overall design and cost

空洞部品の種類と点数

Number of parts of SC Cavity (to be EBW into 10 sub-parts)



Mechanical Fabrication



.

Standard Process Selected in Cavity Production and the Yield

	Standard Cavity Recipe				
Fabrication	Nb-sheet (Fine Grain)				
	Component preparation				
	Cavity assembly w/ EBW (w/ experienced venders)				
Process	1 st (Bulk) Electro-polishing (~150um)				
	Ultrasonic degreasing with detergent, or ethanol rinse				
	High-pressure pure-water rinsing				
	Hydrogen degassing at > 600 C				
	Field flatness tuning				
	2nd Electro-polishing (~20um)				
	Ultrasonic degreasing or ethanol				
	High-pressure pure-water rinsing				
	Antenna Assembly				
	Baking at 120 C				
Cold Test (vert. test)	Performance Test with temperature and mode measurement (1 st / 2 nd successful RF Test)				

A.Yamamoto, 2014/10/20

---ilC

Surface Preparation (XFEL)



Key processes

- Electropolishing
 - 110μm, 40μm
- Ethanol rinse
- Baking (800° C,120° C)
- High pressure rinse (HPR)
- Frequency measurement
- Pressure testing / leak check
- Helium tank assembly

ISO 4 clean room required

 cavity passes 3× through clean room (XFEL spec.)

İİİ.

: Cavity/Cryomodule Fabrication にの製造プロセス

