

Electron Cloud Induced Beam Dynamics at CesrTA

Kiran Sonnad



List of Contributers

Experiments

Laurel Bartnik* Mike Billing* Mike Forster* John Flanagan[%] Robert Holtzapple[#] Kiran Sonnad*^{,%}

Simulation

Lillian Pentecost^{\$} Mauro Pivi[&] Kiran Sonnad

Data Analysis

Robert Holtzapple Lillian Pentecost Kiran Sonnad Sam Tucker[#]

* CLASSE Cornell University
\$ Colgate University
California Polytechnic State Univ
% KEK
& SLAC

Special Thanks to K. Ohmi, Gerry Dugan, Brian Heltsley Nate Rider, Robert Meller and Cesr Operators



About CesrTA



The Cornell Electron Storage Ring (CESR) was colliding electrons and positrons in the CLEO detector.

Since 2008, the storage has been reconfigured as a test facility to study damping rings.

The detector region has a series of damping wigglers installed.



The CesrTA program has involved understanding electron clouds in positron storage rings, tuning the machine for lower beam emittances, understanding other collective effects such as intrabeam scattering and fast ion effects.

The program has made valuable contributions toward the conceptual design report (CDR) of the ILC.

Several advances in modeling/simulating the system under different conditions have been made and compared against experiments. These same simulations programs, once validated at CesrTA can be used to study designs of future facilities.



The "Witness Bunch" Experiment



- Use the train to generate the electron cloud
- Observe the behaviour of the witness bunch
- Alter the properties of the witness bunch
 - position behind the train
 - charge
 - feed back (on/off)
 - emittance, chromaticity



Instrumentation used for our studies



The X-ray beam size monitor (xbsm) Captures synchrotron radiation emitted from a bunch onto a detector.

A beam position monitor (BPM), that consists of four "buttons" that pick up signal from the beam



A typical signal produced on the xbsm with an estimated beam size



Simulation method: CMAD



*The accelerator is divided into several Segments which contain electron cloud.

*The electron cloud of each segment is collapsed onto a 2D mesh.

*The beam is divided into several slices represented by a series of 2D meshes.

*The beam passes through the cloud slice by slice.

*Both the species are evolved during this process.

Program originally developed by Mauro Pivi





Computation Method



*The computation is distributed over several processors using MPI routines. *Each processor handles one or more slice-cloud interaction. *Once the beam distribution of the slices, belonging to a processor is evolved, they can proceed to the next interacting point independent of slices from other processors. *The beam particles from all slices/processors collected and distributed once per turn.

The computations are performed at the NERSC supercomputers located in Berkeley.

Program originally developed by Mauro Pivi



Table of Parameters: Experiments and Computation

Physical Parameters

Circumference	768.4 m
Energy	2.085GeV
Bunch Length	1.22cm
Emittance	2.6nm(x) 20pm(y)
Chromaticity	~0
Chromaticity Tunes	∼0 14.571(x) 9.628(y)
Chromaticity Tunes Bunch Charge	~0 14.571(x) 9.628(y) 1.28-2.56 nC

Simulation Parameters

number of IPs	900
(x,y) extent	20Χσ
Extent in "z" -	8Χσ
# of macro e ⁺	300000
# of macro e ⁻	100000
# of grid cells	128X128
# bunch slices	96
# of processors	96



Observed Tune Shifts – using spectrum analyzer



*Shift in tune Between 1st bunch In train and witness Bunch.

*Tune Shift occurs mostly in "y" in the bottom figure..

*Several other lines appear along with a large tune shift.

Conditions: 45 Bunch train, 14 ns spacing 0.5mA/bunch or 1.28nC/bunch.

Witness bunch at same charge as bunch in train.



Tune Shift – between Simulations with and without electron cloud



Same bunch charge as previous slide;

We see a tune shift only in "y" as in experiments



Amplitude of oscillation of beam centroid in simulations



Cloud density = $10^{12}/m^3$ x-sigma = 0.1 mm y-sigma = 0.013 mm

Centroid motion is self excited. and is noisy because of the small amplitude of oscillation.

We must redo the calculations with an initial beam offset for a cleaner fft and better tune shift calculations.



Estimation of Cloud density from tune Shift.



x 10



Beam size measurements, 30 bunch train feedback on + witness bunch feedback on/off



Note: The train is under feed back in both cases. Thus, multi bunch effects are minimized

Witness bunches just behind the train have a much larger beam size in the absence of feedback.

Significant beam size expansion is seen even with feedback.

We dont have an explanation for the first bunch expansion!



Beam Size measurements 45 bunch train (feed on) + witness Bunch (feedback on/off)



Witness Bunch 46-75 for 45 Bunch Train 45 bunch e+ train-Witness Bunch Feedback On I=0.5mA/bun 4/13/14 60 Average Vertical Beam Size $\sigma_{v}(\mu m)$ 50 40 30 20 10 20 40 50 70 0 30 60 **Bunch Number**

Longer train, less charge per bunch.

We do not see the lead bunch blow up in this configuration.

The results are otherwise similar to previous case.

The "hump" near witness bunch 65 is most likely not due to electron cloud.





Tune shift of all witness bunches for the 45 bunch train case

The "Hump" in horizontal tune peak corresponds to the "Hump" in vertical beam size of previous slide.

The appearance of the large peaks in the vertical tune corresponds to the transition in beam size increase Of the witness bunch in the previous slide



Sample of oscillation amplitude of bunch with and without feedback





Effect of variation of chromaticity





Bunch Number

Bunch Number

Effect of Variation of current in witness bunch





Effect of varying cloud density, chromaticity And bunch current in simulations.





*Increase in beam size with increased cloud density and a transition to rapid beam size growth rate.

*Increase in beam size growth rate with increased bunch charge.

*Increase in beam size growth rate with decrease in chromaticity.



First order Head-Tail Motion from Simulations



Perform a linear fit over the centroid of all the bunch slices for every turn. Motion of bunch centroid is removed in the fit.

Amplitude of head-tail oscillation (m=1 mode) increases by An order of magnitude with increase in cloud density by a factor of 10.

Note: The head tail motion is self induced by the electron cloud.



Second order Head-Tail Motion, from simulations



Remove the centroid motion and orientation of bunch, and perform a parabolic fit over all the bunch slices for every turn.

The amplitude of this mode increases by an order of magnitude When the cloud density is increased by a factor of 10



Turn by Turn variation of linear slope: varying cloud densities

Turn by Turn Slope of Orientation





The magnitude of the slope is obtained from linear fit for every turn.

The amplitude of oscillation of slope clearly increases with increased cloud density.

Spectrum shows strong synchrotron side bands, and a peak at betatron tune only for higher cloud density.



Cornell University Laboratory for Elementary-Particle Physics Total Charge in Bunch Turn by Turn, Varying Electron Cloud Density



Initial Bunch Population = 0.8e10 Positrons



Conclusion

- We worked on a series of experiments designed for observing single bunch dynamics induced by electron clouds on positron beams.
- Simulations were performed using the program CMAD.
- •We observed emittance growth with increased cloud density, decreased chromaticity, increased bunch current.
- •Simulations showed a similar behavior when the above parameters were varied.
- •Simulations showed that head tail motion was induced from electron clouds and is directly co-related with increased emittance growth.
- •Significant emittance growth was observed even when bunch oscillation was suppressed with feedback.