Physics at LHC and the recent progress toward the first collision



Contents

•LHC status (ref. Nakamoto-san's talk tomorrow (kincha-kai)) •ATLAS/CMS status (photo gallery) •Physics at LHC Introduction •Higgs •SUSY

LHC (Large Hadron Collider)



Two General purpose Detectors: ATLAS and CSM

Descent of the last magnet, 26 April 2007







First cool down and warm up of Sector 7-8



LHC Contstruction : ITQ successfully repaired

Inner Triplet Quadrupoles

Near the interaction points, triplet quadrupole magnets focus the beam. Two types of superconducting magnets are separately developed and manufactured at KEK and Fermilab. Both magnets were assembled with common cryostat at Fermilab and then shipped to CERN.







LHC Construction : "Pingpong ball"

RF bellows in the 1700 interconnections



Transmitter prototype

... First, the 6.3km long beam pipe turned out to have obstruction, ... The desperate machine-builders even tried employing a ferret, named Felicia, to help in pulling magnets on wires through the pipe in an attempt to clear it! F. Close "The particle Odyssey" on the construction of FNAL MR.



Updated General Schedule - 08.10.07



Beam Parameter Evolution at 7 TeV/beam

accelerator policy : just one change per each stage

Parameters			Beam levels		Rates in 1 and 5		
k _b	N	β* 1,5 (m)	l _{beam} proton	E _{beam} (MJ)	Luminosity (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Events/ crossing	
43	4 10 ¹⁰	11	1.7 10 ¹²	2	1.1 10 ³⁰	≪1	
43	4 10 ¹⁰	2	1.7 10 ¹²	2	6.1 10 ³⁰	0.76	
156	4 10 ¹⁰	2	6.2 10 ¹²	7	2.2 10 ³¹	0.76	
936	4 10 ¹⁰	11	3.7 10 ¹³	42	2.4 10 ³¹	< <1	
<mark>93</mark> 6	4 10 ¹⁰	2	3.7 10¹³	42	1.3 10 ³²	0.73	
2808	4 10 ¹⁰	2	1.1 10 ¹⁴	126	3.8 10 ³²	0.72	
2 808	5 10 ¹⁰	2	1.4 10 ¹⁴	157	5.9 10 ³²	1.1	
2808	5 10 ¹⁰	1	1.4 10 ¹⁴	157	1.1 10 ³³	2.1	
Considered to be an all-out maximum with							

the hardware as installed

2007.7.23

Schedule

- In March 2008, the beam pipes at ATLAS area will be closed.
- •Beam commissioning will start in May 2008.
- First collisions (14TeV) in July 2008.
- Official inauguration of the LHC on 21/Oct/2008
- Expected Luminosity

(with large uncertainties) $\Box 6 \times 10^{30} \sim 10^{32}$ in 2008 -> ~100pb⁻¹ $\Box 10^{32} - 10^{33}$ in 2009 -> a few fb⁻¹ $\Box 10^{33}$ till ~2011? LHC low lumi phase Int. L ~ 30 fb⁻¹ \Box Then 10³⁴ LHC low high phase Int. L ~ 300 fb⁻¹ $\Box 10^{35}$ LHC upgrade (~2015)

The ATLAS Detector Dimensions :~25x25x46m Weight: 7000 ton ⇒ density 0.31g/cm³



1/11/2007

11



All calorimeters are installed, and the three LAr cryostats are cold and filled with LAr



1/11/2007



ATLAS Control Room (ACR)

The control room is located on surface. Cosmic ray commissioning runs are being taken now.





15

ATLAS Atlantis 2007-06-15 01:51:49 CEST Event name: comb1 run: 12284 event: 8 Geometry: <defa

Cosmics through MDTs, Calorimeters, and TRT during M3



CERN, 25-Sep-2007, PJ



CMS Installation

Underground UXC Cavern May06



Heavy Lowering (HB+, 13 Feb)

Heavy Lowering YE+1 (9 Jan07)

Heavy Lowering YE+2(19 Jan07)



Heavy Lowering (YB0, 28 Feb)





YBO landing in the CMS experiment hall

Situation in Experiment Cavern (9 Apr)



Detector performance

	ATLAS	CMS
	(A Toroidal LHC AparatuS)	(Compact Muon Solenoid)
INNER TRACKER	Silicon pixels+ strips TRT \rightarrow particle ID (e/ π) B=2T	Silicon pixels + strips No particle identification B=4T
	σ/p _T ~ 4x10 ⁻⁴ p _T ⊕ 0.01	σ/p _T ~ 1.5×10 ⁻⁴ p _T ⊕ 0.005
EM CAL.	Pb-liquid argon σ/E ~ 10%/√E uniform longitudinal segmentation	PbWO₄ crystals σ/E ~ 2-5%/√E no longitudinal segm.
HAD CAL.	Fe-scint. + Cu-liquid argon σ/E ~ 50%/√E ⊕ 0.03	Cu-scint. (> 5.8 +catcher) σ/Ε ~ 100%/√Ε ⊕ 0.05
MUON	Air-core toroids B=4T _{peak} σ/p _T ~ 7 % at 1 TeV for standalone data	Fe $\rightarrow \sigma/p_T \sim 5\%$ at 1 TeV combining with tracker
	toroid	solenoid



S.Tanaka(KEK) WIN07 1/11/2007 Quite different detector concept, but the physics performance is similar

5 20

Physics at LHC

- IntroductionHiggs searchSUSY
- Extra-Dim.
 Standard model
 Proton structure



Event rates at LHC

•Huge event rates: (@L=10³³) B production : ~ 1 MHz W,Z ~ O(100) Hz Higgs: 0.1~0.01 Hz

-> Background rejection is very important

-> highly sophisticated event selection are needed.

```
• The second state of the
```

-> Some physics analysis requires the low luminosity condition.



Trigger Menu for L= 2×10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹

Selection	2 x 10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	Rates (Hz)	
Electron	e25i, 2e15i	~40	
Photon	γ60i, 2γ20i	~40	
Muon	μ20ί, 2μ10	~40	Menus for the
Jets	j400, 3j165, 4j110	~25	under discussions
Jet & E _T ^{miss}	j70 + xE70	~20	
tau & E _T ^{miss}	τ 35 + xE45	~5	
b-physics	$2\mu 6$ with $m_B/m_{J/\psi}$	~10	
Others	pre-scales, calibration,	~20	
Total		~200	

•To have the event recorded, it has to contain

a (local) significant object. (i.e. an electron or a muon...)

•Jet Trigger : Et threshold is very high.

•Missing Et : Need extensive commissioning

•B-tagging: only at HLT

1/11/2007



SM Higgs Decay

- Higgs fermion coupling ~ Mass -> BR is prop. to M_f²
- Light Higgs
 - (110<m_H(GeV)<130)
 - Dominant mode is H→bb (75-50%)
 - $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ and cc with 3-7%
 - Higgs decay to γγ through loop of massive particle
 ~ few permil
 - H→VV^(*) rises close
 to 130 GeV
- Intermediate Higgs (130<m_H(GeV)<180)
 - $H \rightarrow VV^{(*)}$ most important decay mode
- Heavy Higgs (180<m_H(GeV)<1000)
 - $H \rightarrow VV$

 $-_{\rm 1/11/2007}$ $m_{\rm H}{\sim}400$ GeV the decay in two top quarks also plays a role





• More emphasis on lighter mass region. = difficult region

• More various channels : A big improvement with VBF fusion processes

SM Higgs production

- <u>Gluon Gluon fusion:</u>
 - Dominant production mode
 - NLO correction important
 - K = 1.7
 - Main contribution is gluon radiation
 - many events with at least one jet
 - NNLO cross section known
 - Sig(NNLO)/Sig(NLO) = 1.3
- Vector Boson Fusion:
 - small K factor ~ 1.1
 - Small jet multiplicity in final state
 - No color exchange between quarks
 - large energetic jets at FWD
 - Low hadronic activity in central region from hard event
 - apart from Higgs decay
- Production with Gauge boson:
 - Known NNLO for QCD and EW corrections
- Production with heavy quarks:
 - More complicated final state
 111 More than 10 diagrams, known at NLO











• Look for:

- H→tt, WW
- Event selection:
 - η_{j1}. η_{j2} < 0

- M_{ii} > 500-700 GeV
- Pile-up may give fake central jets, also harder to identify tag-jets.
- VBF may not be viable at high luminosity.

Vector Boson Fusion

Features:

- 2nd most important production process $(\sigma \sim 10-20\% \text{ of } gg \text{ fusion})$
- H decay products between two forward tagjets.
- No central jets (no q-q colour exchange).
- WW Leptonic final state: leptons are spin correlated
 - \rightarrow for lvlv final state, l⁺,l⁻ in same direction.



SM Higgs Signatures



100 105 110 115 120 125 130 135 140 145 150

$M\gamma\gamma(GeV)$





Higgs searches in early period

CMS-ATLAS comparison



Note: CMS uses NLO cross sections. K-factor for gg->H is high (~1.8)

Higgs searches in initial period



Higgs searches in initial period ATLAS+CMS on the discovery of the SM Higgs



Higgs mass measurement

Precision on SM Higgs mass



Higgs spin, CP (High mass)

- Observation of $qq \rightarrow H$ or $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ excludes spin 1
- For M_H>200 GeV, study spin/CP from $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$
- Exclusion can be deduced from θ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ distributions





m_H [GeV]

m_H [GeV]

m_H [GeV]



Couplings (high M_H)

Precision on SM Higgs width



For m_H >200 GeV, $\Gamma(H)$ is directly measurable at <10% level with the 4-lepton channel.

Couplings (low M_H)

- Assume spin 0:
 - allow to use angular distribution on $H \rightarrow WW$ (most precise measure)
 - measure σ.BR in different channels:

- Uncertainties:
- \Rightarrow Selection efficiencies
- \Rightarrow Background subtraction
- \Rightarrow Luminosity

Assume only one Higgs boson

- •BR(H \rightarrow x)/BR(H \rightarrow WW) = Γ_x/Γ_W •Reduced number of fitted parameters
 - \Rightarrow smaller errors



Couplings (low M_H)



Relative coupling error : ~ 5-20 %

Higgs couplings (absolute)



M_H (GeV)

Higgs self coupling

$$\lambda_{_{HHH}}^{_{SM}} = 3 \, \frac{m_{H}^2}{v} \, , \quad \lambda_{_{HHHH}}^{_{SM}} = 3 \, \frac{m_{H}^2}{v^2}$$



Table 8: Expected numbers of signal and background events after all cuts for the $gg \rightarrow HH \rightarrow 4W \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell'^+ 4j$ fi nal state, for $\int \mathcal{L} = 6000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$.

m_H	Signal	$t\bar{t}$	$W^{\pm}Z$	$W^{\pm}W^{+}W^{-}$	$t\bar{t}W^{\pm}$	$t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$	S/\sqrt{B}
170 GeV	350	90	60	2400	1600	30	5.4
200 GeV	220	90	60	1500	1600	30	3.8

SUSY Higgs

- MSSM theory predicts 5 physical Higgs bosons: h^o,H^o,A^o,H⁺,H⁻ from two Higgs doublets.
- Higgs masses (to first order) are defined

by two parameters:

- $tan\beta$ ratio of 'vev' of 2 Higgs doublets
- m_A mass of cp-odd Higgs A^0 .
- Four points chosen in parameter space (M.Carena et al. EPJ C26 601 (2004))
- An example

Strategy:

- At least one Higgs should be found.

(this is the case in all 4 CP conserving scenarios.

A small hole in CPX senario)

Extend the region with >1 Higgs observation
 (Important to have H searches with the various modes gluon fusion : coupling to gluon.
 VBF: coupling to VB
 ttH: coupling to top guark)

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Mhmax scenario}}\xspace$ maximal $\ensuremath{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{h}}}\xspace$ when Higgs-stop mixing large

No mixing scenario: stop mixing set to 0 Gluophobic scenario: coupling of h to gluons suppressed designed for $gg \rightarrow h, h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$

Small α scenario: coupling of h to b(τ) suppressed designed for VBF, $h \rightarrow \tau \tau$ and tth, $h \rightarrow bb$

Name	M	u	М,	X,	M
	(GeV)	(ĠeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
m _h -max	1000	200	200	2000	800
no mixing	2000	200	200	0	800
gluophobic	350	300	300	-750	500
small α	800	2000	500	-1100	500



Precision measurements of Higgs coupling help distinguishing SM-Higgs and SUSY-Higgs



SUSY Higgs (A/H)





1/11/2007

SUSY Higgs (H⁺)





SUSY production

SUSY particles are created as a pair.
Production cross sections mainly depend on masses of the sparticles and EW/strong coupling.
Mass depends on SUSY model.



mSUGRA

•MSSM: 105 parameters (in addition to SM) •mSUGRA : 5 free parameters M_0 , $M_{1/2}$, A_0 , $tan(\beta)$, $sgn(\mu)$ •Squark and gluino masses are mainly determined by M_0 and $M_{1/2}$



SUSY signature

Event topology strongly depends on SUSY parameters: -> Try to keep selections as inclusive as possible.

Effective mass: M_{eff} =MET+ $P_{T,1}$ + $P_{T,2}$ + $P_{T,3}$ + $P_{T,4}$



SUSY parameter determination

Set several points in mSUGRA plane with the WMAP constraint $\Omega_{\chi}h^2$ =0.14

qualitative picture - no mass scale



Point	m _o (GeV)	m _{1/2} (GeV)	A ₀ (GeV)	tan(β)	sign(µ)	σ (pb)
Coannihilation - SU1	70	350	0	10	+	7.43
Focus Point - SU2	3550	300	0	10	+	4.86
Bulk - <mark>SU3</mark>	100	300	-300	6	+	18.59
Low Mass - SU4	200	160	-400	10	+	262
Funnel - <mark>SU6</mark>	320	375	0	50	+	4.48
Coannihilation - SU8.1	210	360	0	40	+	6.44
Coannihilation - SU8.2	215	360	0	40	+	6.40
Coannihilation - SU8.3	225	360	0	40	+	6.32

Benchmark tests with these points are on-going.

Decay chain anaylsis



End point -> Mass difference

Decay chain anaylsis with initial data



5 fb⁻¹

miliet (GeV) Full simulation

m_{i,iet} (GeV)

-100

J. Barr JHEP 02 (2006) 042

$$q\overline{q} \to Z^0 / \gamma \to \tilde{l}^+ \tilde{l}^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 l^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0 l^-$$

$$\cos\theta_{ll}^* = \cos(2\tan^{-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\eta}) = \tanh(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\eta)$$



Extra dimension

Black-holes

May mini black holes will be produced for low Planck scale in Extra-D Models.



Tanaka, Yamamura, Asai, Kanzaki Eur. Phys. J. C 41 (2005) s19-s33

1/11/2007

BH evaporates with Hawking Radiation: Many energitic "flavor democratic" particles are emitted:



54

Summary

- LHC machine integration is on going, aiming for the first collision in summer 2008.
- ATLAS and CMS detectors are becoming in shape. "Real" cosmic data are collected.
- Early discovery (~5fb⁻¹) of Higgs is possible. Searches are set up in multiple decay channels. Decent Higgs properties can be studied at LHC.
- The first SUSY signature may come rather quickly. Determining the SUSY parameters will take long.
- LHC is the energy frontier machine. We like to expect many unexpected events.