

### "Medium modifications of light vector mesons in Nuclei"



## C. Djalali (USC), M. Wood ( Canesius College), R. Nasseripour (GWU), D. P. Weygand (JLab) and CLAS Collaboration



KEK, March 5, 2009



## **Outline**

- Physics Motivations
  - Chiral symmetry and hadron masses
- Some key experiments
- Photoproduction of vector mesons at Jlab
  - "g7" experiment
  - Lepton ID, background issues
  - ρ meson mass spectra
  - $\omega$  and  $\phi$  absorption
- Summary and Conclusions
- > Disclaimer: Not all experiments and models listed!



## **Our changing view of the Universe**



## **Structure of Ordinary Matter**





### **QCD: The Theory of Strong Interactions**

$$(j,k = 1,2,3 \text{ refer to color, } q = u,d,s \text{ refers to flavor, } a = 1,..,8 \text{ to gluon fields})$$

$$\mathsf{L}_{qcd} = i \sum_{q} \overline{\psi}_{q}^{j} \gamma^{\mu} (\mathsf{D}_{\mu})_{jk} \psi_{q}^{k} - \sum_{q} m_{q} \overline{\psi}_{q}^{j} \psi_{q}^{k} - \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^{a} G_{a}^{\mu\nu}$$
mass of "free" quarks

•If  $m_q=0 \Rightarrow$  exact chiral symmetry  $\Rightarrow$  chiral doublets, degenerate in mass

•However  $m_u$ ,  $m_d < 10$  MeV. This is relatively small  $\Rightarrow$  approximate chiral symmetry  $\Rightarrow$  chiral doublets degenerate in mass

•However, we observe that not to be true :  $M_N \approx 1 \text{ GeV and } M_o < M_{a_1}$ 

The non perturbative nature of the interaction at low energies spontaneously breaks the Chiral symmetry in vacuum resulting in a non vanishing quark condensate  $\langle 0 | q\bar{q} | 0 \rangle \neq 0$ .







## How to measure changes in quark condensate

The quark condensate is not an observable. We need theoritical model to relate the quark condensate to actual experimental observations

Hadronic properties depend on the chiral condensate  $< 0 | q\bar{q} | 0 >$ .



Mass, decay, coupling etc of hadrons will change.

- Extensive programs to look at changes in properties of Baryons are **not** covered in this talk
- We will only mention some experiments looking at changes of properties of light mesons which are made of q-qbar similar to the quark condensate



### **Vector mesons in the medium**

### Properties of vector mesons are predicted to change with $\rho$ and T

Scale invariance in effective Lagrangian: G.E. Brown and M Rho, *Phys. Rev Lett.* 66 (1991) 2720

$$\frac{m_V^*}{m_V} = \frac{m_N^*}{m_N} = \frac{f_{\pi}^*}{f_{\pi}} \approx 0.8$$
 at  $\rho_0$ 

 $\alpha \approx 0.16 \pm 0.06$ 

QCD sumrules:

T. Hatsuda and S. Lee *Phys. Rev. C46 (1992) R34* 

### Many body effects:

B Friman, H.J. Pirner, *Nucl Phys. A617 (1997) 496* R. Rapp, G. Chanfray, J Wambach, *Nucl Phys. A617 (1997) 472* R. Rapp, *arXiv:nucl-th/0608022 (2006)* 

#### **Other predictions:**

M. Lutz et. al. , Nucl. Phys. A 705 (2002) 431

D. Cabrera et. al. , Nucl. Phys. A 705 (2002) 90



 $\rho_0$ 

 $\frac{m_V}{m_V} = 1 - \alpha \frac{\rho_B}{\rho_B}$ 

 $m_{V}$ 

Any observations??



C. Djalali

#### <u>Relativistic heavy ion collisions can produce nuclear matter at different $\rho$ and T</u>





# Medium modification of Vector Meson propertiesseem to explain HI resultsHOWEVER

- In A+A collisions, the results are integrated over a whole range of ρ and T;
   "it is hard to get easily to the elementary process"!
- 2) In A+A collisions, the interesting phase of matter is produced (if at all!) in the very early stages of the reaction, generally far from equilibrium, making it hard to directly compare to the theoretical models which all assume equilibrium.
- 3) In A+A collisions, many channels are involved



It is interesting to look for medium modification of vector meson properties in nuclei (at T=0 and  $\rho \sim \rho_0$ )

The predicted medium modifications are so large that even at normal nuclear density, they can be observed, so:

•Let's produce Vector mesons in nuclei.

Do it with probes that leave the nucleus in almost an equilibrium state γ,π,p,
(probe) + A --> V X --> e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> X





### "Elementary reactions" (not exhaustive list):

### **Experiment** Reactions

Results

TAGX γ +<sup>3</sup>He-->ρ+X (ρ->π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>) **KEK p+A->**ρ,ω,φ**+X (**ρ,ω->**e**<sup>+</sup>**e**<sup>-</sup>) α = 0.092±0.002 p+A->₀+X (₀->e⁺e⁻) **KEK**  $\gamma + A --> φ + A^*(φ --> K^+K^-)$ no effect  $\gamma + A --> ω + X (ω --> π^0 γ)$  α - 0.13-915 (now 0!?)SPring-8  $\gamma + A \rightarrow \omega + X (\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)$ TAPS HADES  $p+p,d->\rho,\omega,\phi+X (\rho,\omega,\phi->e^+e^-)$  (running)

full BR, α ~ 0.06 α ~ 0.04

-Only g7 with EM interaction in entrance and exit channels -TAGX, Spring8 and TAPS have hadronic FSI.



## KEK- PS E325 (Japan)

 $\underline{\mathsf{p+A} \rightarrow \rho, \omega, \phi + X \ (\rho, \omega, \phi \rightarrow e + e -)}$ 

M. Naruki et al, PRL 96 (2006) 092301



## KEK-PS E325 cont

Subtract the background and constrain the  $\omega/\rho$  ratio to include  $\rho$ 



 $\alpha$  = 0.092 +/- 0.002



C. Djalali

### KEK-E325: p (12 GeV) $A \rightarrow \rho, \omega + X; \phi \rightarrow e^+e^-$



<u>mass shift for low recoil momenta  $\phi$  in Cu</u>





#### D. Trnka et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 94 (2005) 192303

Valencia group object to the conclusion on  $\Delta m$ ; EJP J A 31 (2007) 245 IN NEW ANALYSIS ( PRELIMINARY,  $\Delta M$  is GONE!!)







KEK, March 5, 2009

## The photon beam (the tagger)

#### 3.10<sup>-4</sup> RL



•Photon beam is created by bremsstrahlung using a radiator located on the beam line. •Energy distribution is  $dN(k) \propto \frac{1}{k}dk$ 

•Electrons are removed from the beam axis using a magnetic dipole and bent onto 2 planes of scintillators.

• The tagger covers [20%, 95%] of the incident electron's energy range.

•The tagger allows to tag the photon with an energy and a time.

Bremsstrahlung Tagging Spectrum (20%-95%)

•E(e<sup>-</sup>) = 3.0 GeV E(γ) = 0.60 - 2.85 GeV

•E(e<sup>-</sup>) = 4.0 GeV E(γ) = 0.80 - 3.80 GeV







Surface = equilateral triangle ( $8m^2$ ), 39 layers of 1cm scintillator + 2.2 mm sheets of Pb ( $16\lambda$ ) volume = truncated pyramid, located at 5 m from the target and covering  $8^{\circ} < \theta < 45^{\circ}$ ; EC divided in inner (15 layers) et outer (24 layers) parts



## **Multi-Segment Nuclear Target**

Contains materials with different average densities.

- ▶ LD2 and seven solid foils of C, Fe, Pb, and Ti.
- Each target material 1 g/cm<sup>2</sup> and diameter 1.2 cm



Proper spacing 2.5 cm to reduce multiple scattering

Deuterium target as reference, small nucleus, no modification is expected.





#### • Excellent $\pi/e$ discrimination: 5.4x10<sup>-4</sup> for one and 2.9x10<sup>-7</sup> for two arms.

C. Djalali

1.2

1.2

1

25

8945

0.6707

0.1757

### Possible channels that contribute to e+e- mass spectrum

#### **Correlated:**

Monte-Carlo simulations using a model (BUU) by Mosel et al. (Nucl. Phys. A671, 503 (2000)) including various decay channels and nuclear effects, and CLAS detector simulation package (GSIM) Simulations with BUU includes all the e+e- decay channels with same strength.

Giesssen BUU Code

calculated by Mosel's group  $\rightarrow$  negligible

2  $\pi^0$  Dalitz decay mixed  $\rightarrow$  negligible

double Dalitz  $\rightarrow$  low mass

- $\omega \rightarrow e + e -, \rho \rightarrow e + e -, \phi \rightarrow e + e -$
- η →γ e+e-
- $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$

#### **<u>"Semi-correlated":</u>**

- > Bethe-Heitler
- >  $\gamma A \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 X \rightarrow \gamma e+e-\gamma e+e-$
- >  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+e^-$

#### **Uncorrelated:**

Mixed event technique. Pairs of identical (e+e+, e-e-) leptons, which are produced only by combinatorial background provide a natural normalization and samples of uncorrelated particles.





## **Uncorrelated events**

#### The mixed-event technique

The combinatorial background is the random combination of pairs ( $e^+e^-$ ,  $e^-e^-$ , and  $e^+e^+$ ) due to the uncorrelated sources.

#### Which belongs to which?



1) Mix e<sup>+</sup> and e<sup>-</sup> from different events, use the same acceptance as data to get shape for the uncorrelated background. The normalization of the background comes out of the best fit.

2) Use yield of pairs of identical (e+e+, e-e-) leptons, which are produced only by combinatorial processes, will provide both a natural normalization and shape for the uncorrelated background



### Mixed events background without absolute normalization



Mixed events background shape for g7 – with sector cuts



### In Blue: scaled mixed event background superimposed on g7 data



## Fit Results for Fe + Ti



C. Djalali

## 2005 Preliminary conclusions using mixed event shape only

-From  $\chi_2$  fit one might conclude (although limited stat) that the data can accommodate a downward mass shift.

-Spectral shape of  $\rho$  not well "defined", the "free to move" background (<u>i.e normalization determined</u> by best fit) can take away  $\rho$  strength.

-In Pb where the background is large, the best fits didn't have a  $\rho$  at all, it had to be forced into the fit.

-Overall not satisfactory and hard to conclude



## Major improvement of the analysis 2005-2008

- "g7" group went to PAC for more beam time (05).
   PAC required better treatment of mixed event background.
- Group implemented combinatorial mixed events background using same charge pairs ---> much more convincing results (06-07).

----> publications

1 PRL (Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 262302) 1 PRC (Phys. Rev. C 78, (2008) 015201) 1 PRL in preparation (to submitted 09) numerous invited talks



### **Final Conclusions with Normalized Combinatorial Background**

#### **Mixed event technique:**

Pairs of identical (e+e+, e-e-) leptons, which are produced by uncorrelated events provide a natural normalization for the combinatorial mixed event background.



<u>μ+μ- measurement:</u> at CERN-SPS *IPNO-DR-02.015 (2002*) <u>π+π- measurement:</u> at CERN-ISR *(Nucl. Phys. B124 (1977) 1-11).* <u>e+e- measurement:</u> at RHIC (*arXiv:nucl-ex/0510006 v1 3 Oct 2005*)



C. Djalali

## **Results: background Subtracted mass spectra**





### **Extracted** $\rho$ **"mass spectra"**





### **Breit-Wigner**

Best fit : includes photon propagator and phase space





The vacuum properties of the  $\rho$  meson are: m=770 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> and  $\Gamma$ =150 MeV. Broadening of the width is consistent with many-body effects.



## **Experimental Results**

## Elementary Reactions Rel. Heavy-Ion

	KEK	CBELSA/TAPS	CERES	NA 60
Reaction	pA → (ρ,ω,φ) A' VM → e+e-	$\begin{array}{l} \gamma A \rightarrow \omega A' \\ \omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \end{array}$	p+Au,Pb+Au ρ → e+e-	ln+ln ρ → μ+μ-
Condition	ρ=0.53ρ <sub>0</sub> , T~0 MeV	ρ=0.55ρ <sub>0</sub> , T~0 MeV	158 A GeV	158 A GeV
Mass	Δm <sub>ρ</sub> ~-9% Δm <sub>φ</sub> ~ -4%	$\Delta m_{\omega} \sim -44\%$ RETRACTED(??)	∆m not favored	No mass shift
Width	$\Delta\Gamma_{ ho}$ = 0 MeV $\Gamma_{\phi}( ho= ho_{0})$ = 47 MeV	Γ <sub>ω</sub> (ρ=ρ₀)≈140 MeV	Broadening favored	Strong broadening
Note	No direct extraction of ρ Background (?)	π <sup>0</sup> FSI Large background	ρ, T not constant	ρ, T not constant
	M. Naruki et al, PRL96 (2006) R. Muto et al., <i>PRL98(2007)</i>	D. Trnka et al., PRL 94 (2005) M. Kotulla et al, <i>PRL 100(2008)</i>	D. Adamova et al <i>,PRL9(2003)</i> arXiv:nucl-ex/0611022(2006)	R. Arnaldi et al, PRL96 (2006)



## **Summary on the** $\rho$ **meson**

- -Our result ( $\alpha$  =0.02 ± 0.02) is compatible with no mass shift
- -Result does not confirm the KEK results ( $\alpha \sim 0.09$ ).
- -Rule out  $\Delta m$  à la Brown/Rho ( 20%) and
- Hatsuda/Lee (α ~0.16)
- -Width reproduced by GiBUU
- -Mass spectra not directly comparable with spectral function!
- -Momentum of  $\rho$  between 0.8 and 2 GeV
- -Need to study momentum dependence



<u>Giessen group (U. Mosel):</u> W. Peters et al., *NPA 632 (1998) 109* M. Post et al., *NPA 741 (2004) 81* 

BUU model of  $\rho$  meson production and propagation with nucleon resonance-hole contributions.

g7a Planned g7b Conditionally approved



## $\omega/\phi$ Absorption

Mass spectra after subtraction of the ρ meson contribution.









### **Absorption of** $\omega$ **Meson and its in-medium width**

The in-medium width is  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_{coll}$  where  $\Gamma_{coll} = \gamma \rho v \sigma^*_{VN}$ 

**Transparency ratio:** 

$$T_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma N \to \omega X}}$$

$$T_{norm} = \frac{12 \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma^{12} C \to \omega X}}$$

Kaskulov, Hernandez & Oset EPJ A 31 (2007) 245



Latest TAPS  $\Gamma_{o}$ ~130-150 MeV JLAB preliminary results -> larger width!



P. Mühlich and U. Mosel NPA 773 (2006) 156

• TAPS (PRL100(2008)192302)



## **Comparison to Theory –** $\phi$ **-Meson**

#### Spring8 $\gamma A \rightarrow \phi A' \rightarrow K^+K^-A'$ (E $\gamma$ =1.5-2.4 GeV)



## Summary and Conclusions (Medium Modifications)

### **CLAS excellent tool for these studies:**

- $e^+e^-$  from rare leptonic decay of light vector mesons are identified.
- •Clear  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\phi$  signals in the invariant mass spectrum.
- "Mixed-event" technique gives both shape and normalization of the combinatorial background
- The  $\rho$  meson ( Final):PRL 99 (2007) 262302 and Phys. Rev. C 78, 015201 (2008)
- •Correct mass shape is extracted.
- No mass shift and width increased by 40% in Fe ( as predicted by GiBUU) **The**  $\omega$  meson ( preliminary):
- From transparency ratios, width ~ 200 250 MeV!
- The φ meson ( preliminary):
- From transparency ratios, in medium total cross section ~ 25 55 mb

<u>Medium modification studies of vector mesons continue to be a hot</u> topic!



### **Issues and future plans**

#### More can be done with g7 data:

Look at Ks absorption in nuclei (analysis in progress)

#### Use approved experiment g12

High Statistics measurement of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> production on H<sub>2</sub>

# <u>g7b Proposal (approved by PAC 33):</u> Experiment with higher statistics

- more precise measurement of the ρ mass spectrum in at least one more heavy nucleus.
- Study the momentum dependence of the medium modifications (range from 500 MeV/c to 2.0 GeV/c)
- **Compare coherent vs incoherent**

### On the theory front

- Find how to extract spectral functions from mass spectra Mass shift versus width broadening?





## **K**<sub>s</sub> Absorption: Event Selection



### <u>Selection of $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs</u>

- **1.** At least one  $\pi^+$  and one  $\pi^-$  per event.
- 2. Vertex matching
  - a) z matching :  $\Delta z < 3$  cm
  - b) xy cut : r < 2 cm
  - c) Time matching :  $\Delta t < 1.002$  ns

### **Target selection**

The K<sub>s</sub> has a c $\tau$ =2.68 cm. The target where the interaction occurred is chosen with the following criteria. The z-vertex of  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pairs is

- For the deuterium -> inside the LD2 cell.
- For the solid targets -> after the foil position.



## **K**<sub>s</sub> **Absorption:** $\pi^+\pi^-$ **Mass Spectra**





C. Djalali

## **K<sub>s</sub> Absorption: Preliminary Yields**





C. Djalali



## **G7b: New Experiment with CLAS**

- Targets: LD2, C, Fe, Nb, Sn
- Beam time: 36 days
- Beam energy: 3 GeV

With these running conditions, we will have the statistics to
study momentum dependence
confirm Fe results





with Nb

# Field still very active

- For a nice review : See "Hadron properties in the nuclear medium" by Hayano and Hatsuda eprint arXiv:0812.1702
- > JPARC experiment on medium modifications ( Status?)
- > Hades pion beam experiments ( Planned)
- > G7b at JLab
- Panda at Fair and CLAS12 at JLab

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION