

A KEK seminar October 24th 2003

Gérald Grenier BaBar collaboration The University of Iowa Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1



1



Positrons

The BaBar Detector



Some detector performances



Detector Upgrades

IFR replacement

Endcap RPC replaced by new ones: summer 2002

Barrel RPC replaced by LST: summer 2004: 2 sixths summer 2005: 4 sixths

SVT

summer 2005: Extract SVT and replace damaged parts. Install a rotation device to turn the SVT without removing it.

Drift Chamber Level 1 Trigger

Installation of a new trigger measuring the z coordinate of tracks. Will reduce Level 1 Accept rate by a factor 2. Commissioned in parallel with the current trigger. Switch to new trigger around this February

CKM Matrix





CPV in decays

All B's

Direct CPV asymmetries
$$A_{f} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) - \Gamma(B \to f)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) + \Gamma(B \to f)}$$

Interference between at least 2 amplitudes

$$\Gamma\left(B \to f\right) = a_1 e^{i(\delta_1 + \phi_1)} + a_2 e^{i(\delta_2 + \phi_2)} + \dots$$
$$\Gamma\left(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}\right) = a_1 e^{i(\delta_1 - \phi_1)} + a_2 e^{i(\delta_2 - \phi_2)} + \dots$$

$$\delta_i$$
 strong phase CP-even

$$\phi_i$$
 weak phase CP-odd

$$A_{f} = \frac{\sum_{ij} a_{i} a_{j} \sin(\delta_{i} - \delta_{j}) \sin(\phi_{i} - \phi_{j})}{\sum_{ij} a_{i} a_{j} \cos(\delta_{i} - \delta_{j}) \cos(\phi_{i} - \phi_{j})}$$

Other manifestations of CPV

 B^0 's only

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{CPV in mixing: } |B_L \rangle = p |B^0 \rangle + q |\overline{B}^0 \rangle \\ |B_H \rangle = p |B^0 \rangle - q |\overline{B}^0 \rangle \\ |p| \neq |q| \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{From BaBar di-lepton analysis:} \\ |q/p| = 0.998 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.007 \\ \text{Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 231801 (2002)} \end{array}$

CPV in interference between mixing and decay: interference between $B^0 \rightarrow f$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \overline{B}^0 \rightarrow f$

time dependent asymmetry : $A_{f}(\Delta t) = S_{f} \sin \left(\Delta m_{B} \Delta t \right) - C_{f} \cos \left(\Delta m_{B} \Delta t \right) BaBar$ $= S_{f} \sin \left(\Delta m_{B} \Delta t \right) + A_{f} \cos \left(\Delta m_{B} \Delta t \right) BELLE$ If only one amplitude and f is CP eigenstate: $C_{f} = \frac{2\Im(\lambda_{f})}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}} \qquad C_{f} = \frac{1 - |\lambda_{f}|^{2}}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}} \qquad \lambda_{f} = \frac{q}{p} \frac{a(\overline{B}^{0} \rightarrow f)}{a(B^{0} \rightarrow f)}$ $S_{f} = \sin(2\beta), \sin(2\alpha),...$

Time dependent asymmetries



c(0/)

(0/0)

	Calegory	E (70)	$\mathbf{W}(70)$
Neural Network	Lepton	9.1±0.2	3.3 ± 0.6
	Kaon+soft pion	16.7 ± 0.2	10.0 ± 0.7
in 5 physics	other Kaons	19.8±0.3	20.9±0.8
categories:	other tags	20.0 ± 0.3	31.5±0.9
	untagged	34.4 ± 0.5	

Cotogony

Total Q

28.1±0.7

Q(%)

 7.9 ± 0.3

 10.7 ± 0.4

 6.7 ± 0.4

 2.7 ± 0.3

Coming next

b→c̄cs	B→J/ψK,	sin(2β)
b→ss̄s	B→ \$K,	sin(2β)
b→sq̄q	В→ηК,	sin(2β)
b→c̄cd	B→DD,	sin(2β)
b→u + b→d	Β→ππ,	α
b→u + b→c	$B \rightarrow D\pi,$	γ,2β+γ

$b \rightarrow c \overline{c} s$

"pure" Tree diagrams



same weak phase as Tree or suppressed

$B^0 \rightarrow$ charmonium

 $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)K_s^0$

 $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^{\ 0}$

 $B^0 \rightarrow \eta_c K_s^0$



88 million B pairs Phys Rev Lett 89 (2002) 201802

 $B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} K_S^{0}$

 $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_{\mu}^0$

Gerald Grenier University of Iowa : KEK Oct 24 2003

 $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \; K^{*0}$





No Tree diagram All other SM contributions suppressed. In SM, direct CP violation small ~1% and measure sin(2β)

$B \rightarrow \phi K, B \rightarrow \phi \pi$

maximum likelihood fit for simultaneous extraction of signal yields and Direct CP asymmetries with $\phi \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}$ and $K^{0}_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$



 $B^{0}(t) \rightarrow \phi K_{s}$



 $B^{0}(t) \rightarrow \phi K_{s}$

Systematic uncertainty due to	S	С
Fit bias	0.04	0.05
Event yield	0.01	0.05
Parametrization of Δt resolution	0.03	0.02
Background composition/CP asymmetry	0.03	0.05
m _{es} background parametrization	0.02	0.05
Uncertainties in the SVT alignment	0.01	0.01
Beamspot position	0.01	0.01
PDFs for the event yield in signal and background	0.004	0.04
Potential S-wave contamination	0.002	0.015
B ⁰ /B ⁰ efficiency difference	0.002	0.02
Doubly-Cabbibo-suppressed decays	0.009	0.027
Total	0.07	0.12

Systematics are small and well understood from b to c cbar s studies





$B \to \!\!\eta' \, K$





One step simultaneous fit gives yield and CP parameters $B^{+} \begin{cases} B = (76.9 \pm 3.5 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-6} \\ A = 0.037 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.011 \end{cases}$

 $B^{0} \begin{cases} B = (60.6 \pm 5.6 \pm 4.6) \times 10^{-6} \\ C = 0.10 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.04 \\ S = 0.02 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.03 \end{cases}$



 $B^0 \rightarrow K_s \pi^0$



Gerald Grenier University of Iowa : KEK Oct 24 2003

20



Gerald Grenier University of Iowa : KEK Oct 24 2003

$b \rightarrow c \overline{c} d$

tree with small penguin contamination





SM penguin contribution to S expected to be small, measures $sin(2\beta)$

 $B = (8.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4} ; A = -0.03 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05$ D*D+ $S_{D^{*-}D^{+}} = -0.24 \pm 0.69 \pm 0.12 ; C_{D^{*-}D^{+}} = -0.22 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.10$ D*D- $S_{D^{*+}D^{-}} = -0.82 \pm 0.75 \pm 0.14 ; C_{D^{*+}D^{-}} = -0.47 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.12$

> Phys Rev Lett 2003 (90) p221801 88 million B pairs

$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*_+} D^{*_-}$

 $D^{*+}D^{*-}$ is a mixture of CP even (S+D waves) and CP odd (P wave).



$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$



 $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}D^{*-}$ is mostly CP-even (CP=+)

$B^0(t) \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$

Entries / 1 ps B⁰ tags hep-ex/0306052 20 fit on $\cos(\theta_{\rm tr})$ 88 million **B** pairs and time distribution $S_{+}=\Im(\lambda_{+})=0.05\pm0.29\pm0.10$ 0 \bar{B}^{0} tags $\lambda_{\pm} = 0.75 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.02$ 20 $+ \Leftrightarrow CP$ -even 0 Raw Asymmetry SM with no penguin: $S_{+}=sin(2\beta)$ $C_{\perp}=0 \implies \lambda_{\perp}=1$ 0 Refit with this hypothesis change likelihood by 2.5 σ -5 0 5 $\Delta t (ps)$



 $\rightarrow u +$ Л h



mixture of Tree and Penguin Only Tree \Rightarrow C=0 and S=sin(2 α)

With penguin: C≠0 and S= $\sqrt{1 - C^2} \sin(2\alpha_{eff})$



$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$; $B^0 \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$; $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$



2 steps simultaneous likelihood fits of all channels (π -K mis-Id).

PRL 89, 281802 (2002) 88 million B pairs $B_{K\pi} = (17.6 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ $B_{\pi\pi} = (4.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$ $B_{KK} < 0.6 \times 10^{-6} (90\% \text{ CL})$

> preliminary 124 million B pairs $A_{K\pi} = -0.107 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.013$ $S_{\pi\pi} = -0.40 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.03$ $C_{\pi\pi} = -0.19 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.05$

$B{\rightarrow}\pi\,\pi\,;\,B{\rightarrow}K\,\pi\,;\,B{\rightarrow}K\,K$



 $\pi^{0} \pi^{0} B = (2.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ ^{discovery} hep-ex/0308012

> 88 million B pairs hep-ex/0303028

$$K^{+}\pi^{0} \quad \begin{array}{l} B = (12.8^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6} \\ A = -0.09 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$$
$$\pi^{+}\pi^{0} \quad \begin{array}{l} B = (5.5^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6} \\ A = -0.03^{+0.18}_{-0.17} \pm 0.02 \end{array}$$

 $K^{0}\pi^{+}B = (22.3 \pm 1.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$ 88 million B pairs preliminary





Summing over the charge: $A_{\rho\pi}(t) = S_{\rho\pi} \sin(\Delta m_B \Delta t) - C_{\rho\pi} \cos(\Delta m_B \Delta t)$

 $B^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}; B^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{\pm} K^{\mp}$

Simultaneous fit for yield and CP parameters

ρπ $B = (22.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-6}$ $A = -0.114 \pm 0.062 \pm 0.027$ $C = 0.35 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.05$ $S = -0.13 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.04$ $\Delta C = 0.20 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.05$ $\Delta S = 0.33 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.05$

124 million B pairs preliminary

> ρK $B = (7.3^{+1.3}_{-1.2} \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6}$ $A = 0.18 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.08$

 $B^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}; B^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{\pm} K^{\mp}$



charmless $B \rightarrow VV$



 $\rho^+ \rho^-$ fraction of longitudinal polarization = $0.98^{+0.02}_{-0.08} \pm 0.03$ \Rightarrow is mostly CP-even

$B \rightarrow \eta K; B \rightarrow \eta \pi$

Large direct CPV theoretically possible:



$B \rightarrow \eta K^*; B \rightarrow \eta' K^*;$ $B \rightarrow \eta \rho; B \rightarrow \eta' \rho; B \rightarrow \eta \pi$

mode	$B \times 10^{-6}$	Α
$B^0 \to \eta \; {K^*}^0$	$19.0^{+2.2}_{-2.1}\pm1.3$	$0.03 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$
$B^+ \rightarrow \eta K^{*+}$	$25.7^{+3.8}_{-3.6}\pm1.8$	$0.15 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$
$B^{\!+} \to \eta \rho^+$	$10.5^{+3.1}_{-2.8}\pm1.3$	$0.06 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.02$
$B^0 \to \eta' \pi^+$	<4.5	
$B^0 \to \eta' K^{\!$	< 6.4	90 % CL
$B^{\!\!+} \to \eta' K^{\!\!\!\! *+}$	< 12	
$B^{\!$	< 22	

hep-ex/0308015 88 million B pairs





CP Asymmetry in Charmless B Decays

Gerald Grenier University of Iowa : KEK Oct 24 2003



$B^\pm \mathop{\longrightarrow} D \,\, K^\pm$

interference between $B^- \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 K^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^-$

define:

$$R_{X} = \frac{B(B^{-} \rightarrow D_{X}^{0} K^{-}) + B(B^{+} \rightarrow \overline{D}_{X}^{0} K^{+})}{B(B^{-} \rightarrow D_{X}^{0} \pi^{-}) + B(B^{+} \rightarrow \overline{D}_{X}^{0} \pi^{+})} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} R \text{ for non - CP D decays} \\ R_{+} \text{ for CP - even D decays} \\ R_{-} \text{ for CP - odd D decays} \end{pmatrix}$$

extract
$$\gamma$$
:
 $\frac{R}{R} = 1 + r^{2} \pm 2r \cos(\delta) \cos(\gamma)$
 \overline{DK}/DK amplitude ratios
 $\frac{A}{R} = \pm 2r \sin(\delta) \sin(\gamma)$
Asymmetry
 $\frac{R}{R} = \pm 2r \sin(\delta) \sin(\gamma)$
 $\frac{R}{R} = 0.088 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.005$
 $A_{\pm} = 0.07 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.06$

 $B^{0}(t) \rightarrow D^{(*)\pm}\pi^{+}$

for
$$D^{-}\pi^{+}$$
, $A_{+} = S_{+}\sin(\Delta m_{B}\Delta t) - C\cos(\Delta m_{B}\Delta t)$
for $D^{+}\pi^{-}$, $A_{-} = S_{-}\sin(\Delta m_{B}\Delta t) + C\cos(\Delta m_{B}\Delta t)$



For hadronic tag, $b \rightarrow c + b \rightarrow u$ interference in the flavor tag decay: r' δ' $c \rightarrow c_i = c_{1ep} - 2 r_i' \cos(2\beta + \gamma) \sin(\delta_i')$ tag dependent The sin term in the amplitude has a CP-B independent term: $b_i = 2 r_i' \sin(2\beta + \gamma) \cos(\delta_i')$ Parameters for D : a , b, c, r , δ Parameters for D : a , b, c, r , δ

 $B^{0}(t) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}\pi^{\mp}$





Gerald Grenier University of Iowa : KEK Oct 24 2003



Conclusion



•B factories have confirmed that CKM matrix provide an adequate explanation for CP violation in the Standard Model.

•Precision measurements are entering an era where they can test and measure theoretical model assumptions and even might hint at new physics.

• $|V_{ub}|, |V_{tb}|, b \rightarrow s\gamma$ will contribute to this precision testing of the CKM matrix.

The coming data will be very exciting.