

CNGS Project: Status report

- 1. Project Overview
 - 2. Proton beam layout and equipment status
 - 3. Proton beam dynamics
 - 4. Target and secondary beam equipment readiness
 - 5. Commissioning preparation
 - 6. Outlook

1. Project Overview



(see http://cern.ch/cngs) CNGS - a long base-line neutrino beam facility (732 km) send v_{μ} beam -> detect v_{τ} appearance <u>CNGS project at CERN</u>: production of the v_{μ} beam

using protons from the existing accelerator chain



At Gran Sasso:

OPERA detecting v_{τ}



CNGS: the main components







2. Proton beam line layout and equipment status

Proton beam line overview





TT41 extraction point to beam dump





Transfer line layout: half cell



Main dipole magnet status

MBG 73 magnets (78 ordered)
Nominal field : 1.7 T @ 400 GeV
Magnetic length : 6.3 m
Gap height 37 mm

ALL RECEIVED and stored









Main quadrupole magnet status

QTG 20 magnets (23 ordered) Magnetic aperture : 45 mm Nominal gradient 40 T/m, 2.2 m long

All QTG received

All QTG installed in TT41 and aligned



Quadrupole installation in TT41





Dipole corrector magnet status

MDG 12 magnets (17 ordered)
 Gap height : 45 mm
 Bending angle 80 μrad, overall length: 700mm

All MDG magnets received

Chambers to be inserted in 4 MDGH (at CERN)

MDGH and MDGV Dipole corrector magnets









Beam line instrumentation



- **18** beam position monitors in proton beam line (BPG) + 4 in common line with LHC (BPK)
- 1 coupler in air on target table (BPKG)
- 8 proton beam profile monitors (BTVG)
- **18** beam loss monitors (BLM)
- 1 beam current transformer (BFCT) (+1 BFCT in common line with LHC

Beam Position Monitoring



Beam Position Measurement Requirements

source	rms uncertainty	tolerance
BPM (global accuracy)	0.25 mm	\pm 0.5 mm
Alignment	0.20 mm	\pm 0.4 mm
Total	0.32 mm	\pm 0.6 mm

Intensity Range: 1×10^{12} to 3.5×10^{13}







Target Beam Position Measurement Requirements

Final standard BPG of the proton beam line & target BPKG

- \Rightarrow used to provide position at the target
- \Rightarrow setting up performed using final beam line BPG
- \Rightarrow aiming at target rods verified & tracked using target BPKG
- \Rightarrow accuracy of measurement ±0.2mm in ±2mm central region.
- \Rightarrow accuracy of measurement ± 0.5 mm outside ± 2 mm central region.

error source	rms uncertainty	tolerance
BPM (global accuracy)	0.1 mm &	± 0.2 mm &
	≤± 0.15 mm	≤± 0.3 mm
Alignment	0.10 mm	± 0.2 mm
Total	0.14 mm	≤± 0.35 mm

BPKG – special beam position monitor on target table Stripline Coupler Pick-up operated in air





Beam Profile monitors



 $75~\mu m$ carbon and 12 μm titanium screens 5 to 10 % precision requested





Beam Loss Monitoring



Beam losses will be detected using:

- \Rightarrow 18 standard 1 litre, SPS, nitrogen filled ionisation chambers
- ⇒ 30 parallel plates with 5mm separation ionisation length of 19cm
- ⇒ efficiency of ~1250 pairs per primary charge



23 August 2005

Malika Meddahi

Beam Current Transformers



Two Monitors _ one at the beginning & one at the end of the line Absolute Accuracy of 1% for the Intensity Range: 1×10^{12} to 3.5×10^{13}



First BCT sees both LHC & CNGS beams

 Has to fulfill additional requirement of LHC bunch by bunch capability (i.e. 25ns resolution)

23 August 2005



3. Proton beam dynamics

Nominal beam parameters_



Beam parameters	Nominal CNGS beam		
Nominal energy [GeV]	400		
Normalized emittance [μ m]	H=12 V=7		
Emittance [µm]	H=0.028 V= 0.016		
Momentum spread $\Delta p/p$	0.07 % +/- 20%		
# extractions per cycle	2 separated by 50 ms		
Batch length [μ s]	10.5		
# of bunches per pulse	2100		
Intensity per extraction [10 ¹³ p]	2.4		
Bunch length [ns] (4 σ)	2		
Bunch spacing [ns]	5		

Upgrade phase: 3.5 10¹³ p

FΕ



FE



26

Optics at Target



Nominal parameters : • Beta at focus : 10 m, 20m

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Beam size σ at 400 GeV : 0.5 mm
- Beam divergence σ' at 400 GeV : 0.05, 0.03 mrad

Possible to increase further the beam size



23 August 2005

Malika Meddahi

Trajectory correction scheme



<u>2-in-3 scheme</u>: 2 consecutive half cells per plane out of 3 are equipped with Beam Position Monitors (BPMs) and correctors. Phase advance per cell: $\pi/2$ Beam line errors (quad displacement, beam position monitor, dipole field and tilt, extraction from SPS)

	Max. RMS	Max. Excursion (mm)
X before trajectory. Correction	3.6	15.
X after trajectory correction	0.7	2.7
Y before trajectory. Correction	3.2	8.
Y after trajectory correction	0.6	2.5
Note: max. trajectory excursion al	lowed: 4 mm	

The implemented correction scheme is sufficient

Malika Meddahi

Beam stability at the target



→ Target resistance to non-centered beam

Beam line imperfections (quad displacement, beam position monitor, main dipole field and tilt, extraction, power supply precision)

Horizontal spot size is dominated by extraction errors

Vertical spot size is not increased, vertical beam position is determined by trajectory errors.

Type of error	Error magnitude	Horizontal σ_{x} at target (mm)	Horizontal σ' _× at target (μrad)
Magnet errors	As in specs.	0.12 mm	11 µrad
Horizontal extraction angle	10 µrad r.m.s.	0.11mm	5 µrad
Horizontal extraction position	0.5 mm r.m.s.	0.32 mm	21 µrad
Nominal beam [r.m.s.]		0.53 mm	53 µrad
Effective beam [r.m.s.]		0.64 mm	57 μ rad







For nominal beam parameters and expected errors -> no beam loss is expected.

For the most critical parameters -> a margin of a factor 2 found

Upper limits for possible injection errors have been established.



4. Target and secondary beam equipment readiness

Target Station





The target units













Target rods: alignment



Support seat No.

36


Target Station - Shielding







23 August 2005

Malika Meddahi



Horn system

Design criteria: >95% probability to work for 5x10⁷ pulses length: 6.5 m diameter: 70 cm weight: 1500 kg

Pulsed devices: 150kA / 180 kA, 1 ms

water-cooled: distributed nozzlas









The CNGS horn"today"

Glass Disk - the remaining big issue



Received from LAL



Glass plate broken Problem = conceptual

After modification



23 August 2005



Cracks discovered ~weeks after electrical tests



44

Malika Meddahi





Access very rare



LHC type beam loss monitor

- Parallel electrodes separated by 0.5cm
- Stainless steel cylinder
- Al electrodes

8

6

Mass=3.8kg

- N_2 gas filling at 1-1.1 bar

3

5

ĝ

Monitor Layout







5. Commissioning preparation



First checks of CNGS beam extraction done in September 2004

First and last opportunity to check the CNGS beam extraction before the start up in May 2006

- •Tests the extraction channel with CNGS beam and double pulse extraction
- MKE kicker magnets & circulating SPS beam
- BPKG test in air





High intensity test in the SPS_



During 2004, high intensity tests allow to reproduce the previous 1996 record in terms of intensity per cycle : $4.8\ 10^{13}$ protons, with record value of 5.3 10^{13} protons

Beam losses and induced radiation are the most critical issues for the whole complex (extraction in the PS, low energy losses in the SPS, SPS extraction losses)

Reduction of the beam losses is the operation goal in order to deliver the committed number of protons in a reliable and sustainable way



Time [us]

Extraction kick pulse parameters



	Requested	Achieved No damper	Achieved with damper
Rise time	1.05 µs	1.05 μ s	1.05 µs
Fall time	1.05 μs	1.05 μ s	1.05 μ s
Usable batch length	2x10.5µs	2x10.4 μs	2x 10.5µs
Flat top field ripple	< 2%	< 2%	< 2%
Post kick pulse ripple	< 2%	< 4%	< 2%

Commissioning schedule



- Hardware commissioning Feb. - April 2006

Beam instrumentations Power supplies Magnets (polarities) Vacuum system

- "Dry runs"

April - May 2006

Timing Controls Interlocks Beam permit Magnets (current & polarities)

- Commissioning with beam 2006: weeks 22, 25 and 27



Week 22 : low intensity, up to target

Week 25 : low to medium intensity, secondary beam

Week 27 : high intensity, full facility



Commissioning team, mandate, list of subjects to be addressed were defined

GOAL	PARAMETERS	Beam instrumentation	Commissioning Goal
1 Proton beam		Proton beam intensity monitor BFCT412425	Intensity : 2.4 E13 per extraction or maximum available from injectors
	Proton beam parameters on target	Proton beam profile monitor BTVG412445	Beam sizes : 0.45 mm < $\sigma_{x,y}$ < 0.7 mm for nominal target unit
		Proton beam position monitor at BPKG412449	Proton beam position stability better than +/- 0.5 mm (upper value)
2 Proton beam direction on target	Destan harm disection on toward	Proton beam positon monitors BPG412424 and	Proton beam direction established within better than 0.2mr of the
	BPG412444	known direction to Gran Sasso detectors	
3	Proton beam position along TT41	Proton beam positon monitors along TT41	Trajectory excursion less than +/- 4 mm
5	Muon detector parameters	Muon monitors in TNM41 and TNM42	Intensity per proton and Profile within xx% (TBA by SBWG) of simulated values
6	Proton beam losses	Beam loss monitors	No more than 1E-3 at extraction. None along TT41 beam line
7	Proton beam tails	Proton beam profile monitors	tbd

CNGS COMMISSIONING GOALS

23 August 2005

Commissioning with beam



Low intensity : 10¹² p/extraction, 1 extraction per cycle then 2 High intensity :10¹³ p/extraction, 2 extractions per cycle, few batches a. Target OUT - Horns OFF - TED IN

b. Target OUT - Horns OFF - TED OUT

Setp2: Low intensity : 10¹² p/extraction, 2 extractions per cycle c. Target IN - Horns OFF - TED OUT

d. Target IN - Horns ON - TED OUT

e. Combination of Horn ON/OFF, Reflector ON/OFF, Horn+Reflector ON/OFF

Step: High intensity :10¹³ p/extraction, 2 extractions per cycle: f. All parameters at optimized values, high intensity

23 August 2005

Step1:

Malika Meddahi



6. Outlook





Target Chamber: Shielding





Target chamber July 2004

Target chamber August 2005

23 August 2005

Malika Meddahi





Target Chamber: Helium Tanks



Alu Helium tube sleeve









20 July 2005: last element of He tube installed

23 August 2005









"today" 2001 2002 2003 2006 2000 2004 2005 **Civil Engineering** excavate civil engineering pit, tunnels and caverns; concrete / shot-crete tunnels and caverns Install hadron stop iron + graphite blocks, aluminum plate + water cooling Install decay tube lower decay tube sleeves, weld together, pour concrete Civil Engineering - phase 2 finish concrete floors, close provisional CE pit Install general services electrical services, ventilation, cooling water, etc. Install equipment proton beam line, target, horn+reflector, shielding Commissioning

First beam to Gran Sasso*: * pending details in SPS schedule for 2006

CNGS schedule

May 2006

CERN N G S

Summary

-> Procurement of last equipment is being complete

- -> Installation is well underway
- -> Commissioning with beam: to start week 22 (29 May 2006)

-> CNGS beam operational after week 27 (July 2006)







Muon Monitors





- → muon intensity
 - muon intensity
 muon beam profile shape
 - muon beam profile snape
 muon beam profile centre
- Muon intensity:
 - \rightarrow Up to 7.7x10⁷ per cm² and 10.5 µs
- Dynamic range: 10⁵
- Accuracies:
 - → absolute 10 %
 - → relative 3 %
 - → reproducibility: cycle to cycle 1%, one year 5%




FLUKA simulations



A. Ferrari, A. Guglielmi, P.R. Sala

Muon Profiles in Pit 2



FLUKA simulations (P. Sala, not published)

no target

Muon Monitors



2nd muon pit

Muon Information from Gran Sasso



- With sufficient beam intensity
 - measure muons from _ interactions in Gran Sasso rock
 - → later: receive time stamp of muons
- Expected muon fluence for the nominal CNGS beam intensity (FLUKA)
 - → 43.6µ/m²/10¹⁹ pot
 - → 0.98µ/m²/day or
 - → 196µ/m²/y
 - → Muon spectrum peaks at low energies: = 16.2GeV/c.



